

# DOCUMENTATION: A GROWING NEED . . . A NEW TOOL

Marcia A. Metcalfe

## ABSTRACT

The Software Design and Documentation Language (SDDL) has proven to be an effective, automated documentation tool. This paper presents the purpose, timing, and components of documentation. SDDL is introduced and related to the different timing scenarios; its capability to provide reasonable documentation is discussed and demonstrated by the use of several examples.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Documentation has typically been viewed as the drudgery of software development. Weinberg refers to documentation as the castor oil of programming [1]; Kleine says that if design is Cinderella, then certainly documentation is her ugly sister [2]. And it logically follows that documentation became seen in this light since, usually, by the time the documentation stage was reached, the main characters were tired of the program and ready to move on to another project.

However, as more and more programs come into existence, and the need for meaningful documentation also increases, it will be necessary to correct this image if reasonable documentation is to be produced. Without it, the task of understanding, updating, and/or modifying a program becomes increasingly difficult (if not impossible) and costly.

This paper is organized in the following manner: the purpose, timing, and various components of documentation are discussed. Next, the automated tool, SDDL, is presented and related to the different timing scenarios; its capability to provide meaningful documentation is discussed and demonstrated through the use of several examples. Finally, conclusions are drawn.

### A. PURPOSE OF DOCUMENTATION

The primary purpose of documentation is to provide undistorted communication between the parties interested in the software, both

present and future [3]. Documentation should convey such information as:

- What the program does; how it functions
- How the data/information is represented
- How the various segments/routines relate to one another

This information becomes increasingly valuable as modification is required, and the original developer(s) is no longer available or has forgotten program details [4].

### B. TIMING OF DOCUMENTATION

Three documentation timing scenarios will be addressed. The first, "before the fact" documentation, usually presents the program specifications and/or design. The second scenario, "concurrent" documentation, occurs throughout the various phases of software development and provides a working vehicle to prevent distortion of ideas, promotes project control, captures design changes, and permits the orderly development of software. It is useful to the development programmer as well as to the maintenance programmer. The third scenario, "after the fact" documentation typically records the history of development, demonstrates that the program works, and provides a means for maintenance [5].

### C. COMPONENTS OF DOCUMENTATION

Given that different users need different information, and that no one document could probably ever provide all the information which in the future may be required, the following documentation components have been identified to accommodate the changing requirements of documentation over the program's lifecycle.

From the very early stages of software design forward, several components become essential. One such component is a high-level description (overview) of the program in prose. It should include such information as what function the program is being designed to perform and what its limitations

and assumptions are. As the design progresses, the data structure becomes more defined and the algorithms/procedures which operate on the data are identified and developed. Therefore, data structure diagrams and a calling sequence diagram which shows the interrelationships of the procedures become two additional basic components of documentation.

As the design matures and coding begins, other components surface. These include the procedure (job control runstream) necessary to execute the program. It should contain a written explanation of the various steps, as well as the input/output declarations, definitions, and allocations. Another component includes descriptions of the data files which contain examples of reasonable data in terms of its mode and size/length. Source code listings, preferably with cross reference tables become an invaluable component. Machine specifications can also be documented at this time.

By the time the program code is completed and the usual documentation phase begins, current versions of the previously-mentioned components coupled with actual data files, a sample testcase with output, and references to other related documents (i.e., user's guide, design document, supporting technical papers) will provide a full complement of meaningful documentation.

## II. SDDL: A TOOL

The Software Design and Documentation Language which was developed by Henry Kleine [6] at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory of the California Institute of Technology, has as its main objective communication. It facilitates communication between all the characters in the software development process (i.e., managers, customers, designers, development programmers, maintenance programmers, and the machine). SDDL automates the documentation task; it processes input (expressed in natural language or source code) and produces formatted, software documentation.

Further, methodologies have been developed for displaying representations of data, project management, and the direct processing of source code. Automatic features provided by SDDL include a table of contents, module reference tree (calling sequence diagram), and cross reference listing. User-defined features include specific cross reference listings and SDDL keyword definitions.

The remainder of this section will present SDDL's capability to address the changing documentation requirements in a program's lifecycle, and relate them through the various timing scenarios.

### A. SDDL AS A DOCUMENTATION TOOL FOR THE DESIGN PHASE ("BEFORE THE FACT")

SDDL has been used successfully to design both SIMSCRIPT and FORTRAN programs. The processing of natural language statements allows a high-level description of the program's function, limitation, and assumptions, which does not have to meet typical programming language syntactical requirements. Figure 1 [7] demonstrates this capability. Capturing the physical representation of the data is also facilitated by SDDL. Figure 2 [7] illustrates this capability; Figure 3 [7] shows a refined design of a data structure.

Automatic features of the SDDL processor include:

- a table of contents
- a module reference tree (forward-calling sequence diagram)
- a module cross reference listing
- logic error detection

Figure 4 [7] is a segment of an automatically-generated module reference tree; it provides information regarding the interrelationships of the various, identified program procedures. A glossary of terms can also be facilitated by the SDDL processor.

### B. SDDL AS A DOCUMENTATION TOOL FOR THE CODING PHASE ("CONCURRENT")

SDDL provides a working vehicle which facilitates the coding phase of software development (see Figure 5 [7]). This is the point at which coding conventions can be adopted to allow for the direct processing of source code; and the external data file representation can be documented. Procedures for flagging revisions can be instituted; and user-specified cross reference listings (for global variables, data files, footnotes, etc.) can assist the development programmer. Project management techniques (including a calendar of events, milestones, and progress charts) can be incorporated into the document for use during this phase.

### C. SDDL AS A TOOL FOR THE DOCUMENTATION PHASE ("AFTER THE FACT")

Two methodologies for using SDDL during the documentation phase have been developed. The first is the direct processing of SIMSCRIPT, and other high-level languages, source code through SDDL; the second is using the SDDL processor to generate a supporting document to existing source code listings.

## 1. The Direct Processing of SIMSCRIPT Source Code

Figure 6 is the result of processing SIMSCRIPT source code through SDDL. The document formatting features enhance both the clarity and flow of control in this routine. User-defined cross reference listings can be generated at this point to capture machine portability considerations and I/O devices. The automatically-generated SDDL features provide additional information about the source code.

## 2. As a Supporting Document for an Existing Program

SDDL can be used to document existing software written in any programming language. Figure 7 [8] shows SDDL being used to capture the physical data representation of an existing FORTRAN program. Meaningful identifiers have been added to clarify the cryptic descriptors; and their instances can be gathered in a user-specified cross reference table. Additionally, variable mode and units of measure have been supplied.

Figure 8 [8] illustrates SDDL being applied to capture the structure/algorithm, at a high level, of an existing FORTRAN program. Natural language statements lend clarity to the routine description; automatic document formatting features lend flow of control visibility.

### III. CONCLUSIONS

SDDL can take some of the "drudge" out of documentation by capturing meaningful information during the various phases of software development as well as by transferring the burden to the computer. It allows for details, usually recalled from someone's memory at the end of a project, to be recorded as they occur during the project. This single, automated medium facilitates the communication between the various members in the software development process over time, thereby providing a two-dimensional documentation tool (i.e., between people, over time).

Additionally, SDDL provides a framework for implementing documentation standards. It skews the documentation effort away from the end (when developers are very busy verifying, debugging, and testing) and toward the beginning of the project (when developers are less busy).

When used in a "concurrent" documentation mode, SDDL provides a working vehicle which begins as a designer's tool, then becomes a development programmer's tool, and finally emerges as a maintenance programmer's tool. When used in an "after the fact" documentation mode, SDDL can produce a document in support of existing source code which adds clarity and visibility into the program's actions. Further, SDDL can generate documentation directly from source code.

### REFERENCES

1. WEINBERG, Gerald M. (1971) The Psychology of Computer Programming. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company.
2. KLEINE, Henry (1977) "A Vehicle for Developing Standards for Simulation Programming." Presented at the Winter Simulation Conference, Gaithersburg, MD., December 5-7.
3. COOLEY, Belva J. (1977) "Documenting Simulation Studies for Management." Presented at the Winter Simulation Conference, Gaithersburg, MD., December 5-7.
4. HARPER, William L. (1973) Data Processing Documentation: Standards, Procedures and Applications. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
5. TAUSWORTHE, Robert C. (1976) Standardized Development of Computer Software. Pasadena: Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology.
6. KLEINE, Henry (1977) Software Design and Documentation Language. Pasadena: Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology.
7. CHAMBERLAIN, R.G., P.J. FIRNETT, D.A. HEIMBURGER, M.H. HORTON, B.L. KLEINE, M.A. METCALFE (1978) SAMIS III Design Document. Pasadena: Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology.
8. Jet Propulsion Laboratory (1978) PARAMET (An Electric Vehicle Simulator) User's Manual. Pasadena: Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology.

LINE	APRIL 27, 1978	PAGE	8
481	PROGRAM OBJECTIVES		RGC
482	*****		
483	* SAMIS III IS AN ECONOMIC MODEL OF A HYPOTHETICAL U.S. INDUSTRY TO MANUFACTURE SILICON SOLAR		*
484	* MODULES, WHICH ARE USED TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY DIRECTLY FROM SUNLIGHT BY THE PHOTOELECTRIC EFFECT.		*
485	*		*
486	* IT IS INTENDED THAT THE SAMIS III PROGRAM FACILITATE STANDARDIZED COMPARISON OF THE RELATIVE		*
487	* ECONOMICS OF COMPETING MANUFACTURING PROCESSES. IT IS ALSO INTENDED THAT IT FACILITATE ASSESSMENT		*
488	* OF COMPLETE SEQUENCES OF PROCESSES WITH RESPECT TO THE LOW-COST SOLAR ARRAY (LSA) PROJECT		*
489	* GOALS. FURTHER, IT IS INTENDED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION THAT WILL HELP IN DETERMINING FRUITFUL		*
490	* AREAS OF RESEARCH.		*
491	*		*
492	* THE INPUTS TO THE SAMIS III MODEL FALL INTO SEVERAL GROUPS:		*
493	*		*
494	* A) DESCRIPTIONS OF THE ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF EACH MANUFACTURING PROCESS/MACHINE		*
495	* 1) PROCESS PARAMETERS (PRODUCT PRODUCED, RATE, DUTY CYCLE, ETC)		*
496	* 2) EQUIPMENT COST FACTORS		*
497	* 3) FACILITIES AND PERSONNEL REQUIREMENTS (PER MACHINE)		*
498	* 4) BYPRODUCTS PRODUCED AND UTILITIES AND COMMODITIES REQUIRED (PER MINUTE)		*
499	* 5) PRODUCTS USED IN THE PROCESS (AND THE ASSOCIATED YIELDS)		*
500	*		*
501	* B) DESCRIPTION OF THE TECHNOLOGICAL AND ECONOMIC STRUCTURE		*
502	* 1) OF FIRMS IN THE INDUSTRY		*
503	* 2) OF PROCESSES IN EACH FIRM		*
504	*		*
505	* C) STANDARD DATA		*
506	* 1) PRICES OF PERSONNEL, COMMODITIES, ETC. AS FUNCTIONS OF QUANTITIES		*
507	* 2) INDIRECT REQUIREMENTS AS FUNCTIONS OF QUANTITIES		*
508	* 3) RELATIONSHIPS FOR ESTIMATING INITIAL CAPITAL		*
509	* 4) INFLATION RATES AND OTHER ECONOMIC PARAMETERS		*
510	*		*
511	* D) RUN TIME DATA		*
512	* 1) RANGE OF DEMANDS FOR PHOTOVOLTAIC POWER		*
513	* 2) RANGE OF ANOTHER PARAMETER TO BE VARIED [TO BE IMPLEMENTED IN A LATER RELEASE]		*
514	* 3) "SWITCH" SETTINGS (SUCH AS THE INTEGRAL.MACHINES.FLAG)		*
515	*		*
516	* FROM DESCRIPTIONS OF THE MANUFACTURING PROCESSES, DETERMINISTIC EQUATIONS DESCRIBING THE		*
517	* MANUFACTURING COSTS OF EACH PROCESS, AND BUSINESS COSTS OF EACH FIRM HOUSING ONE OR MORE OF THESE		*
518	* PROCESSES, THE MODEL ESTIMATES THE PRICES THAT MAY REASONABLY BE EXPECTED FOR SOLAR MODULES AND		*
519	* ANY RECOGNIZABLE INTERMEDIATE PRODUCTS THAT ARE USED IN THEIR MANUFACTURE. COST ELEMENTS ARE		*
520	* ALLOCATED TO EVERY PROCESS.		*
521	*		*
522	* BY PERFORMING SENSITIVITY ANALYSES OF VARIOUS PARAMETERS INVOLVED IN THE MODEL, AND BY		*
523	* ANALYZING THE EFFECT ON PRICE OF DIFFERENT INDUSTRY CONFIGURATIONS, INFERENCES CAN BE DRAWN WITH		*
524	* RESPECT TO RESEARCH PRIORITIES AND THE EFFECTS OF GOVERNMENTAL AND INDUSTRIAL ACTIONS.		*
525	*		*
526	* WHILE SAMIS III WAS DESIGNED FOR THE NASCENT SOLAR ARRAY INDUSTRY, THE METHODOLOGY IS NOT		*
527	* INDUSTRY DEPENDENT. APPLICABILITY TO MANUFACTURERS IN OTHER INDUSTRIES CAN BE ACHIEVED BY RATHER		*
528	* MODEST AUGMENTATION OF THE STANDARD DATA.		*
529	*****		*
547	END		

Figure 1. SDDL Processing High-level, Natural Language Statements

```

LINE                                     APRIL 27, 1978                                     PAGE 12
14 DATA_STRUCTURE NOMENCLATURE                                                                RGC
15 *****
16 * DATA STRUCTURES ARE DESCRIBED IN TERMS OF ENTITIES, WHICH ARE THE OBJECTS OUT OF WHICH THE MODEL *
17 * IS CONSTRUCTED; ATTRIBUTES, WHICH DESCRIBE VARIOUS CHARACTERISTICS OF THE ENTITIES; AND SETS, WHICH *
18 * DESCRIBE STRUCTURED RELATIONSHIPS AMONG THE ENTITIES. *
19 *
20 * ATTRIBUTES CONTAIN VALUES, USUALLY NUMERIC, BUT SOMETIMES ALPHABETIC OR ALPHANUMERIC. SOME OF
21 * THESE VALUES ARE CHARACTERISTIC OF THE PARTICULAR ENTITY THEY DESCRIBE (AS, FOR INSTANCE, THE
22 * EXPENSE ITEM: PRICE.VS.QUANTITY.TABLE). THIS KIND OF ATTRIBUTE IS IDENTIFIED IN THE DATA STRUCTURE
23 * BY AN S (TO MEAN "SAVED" OR "STATIC") AT THE RIGHT HAND MARGIN. VALUES OF THESE ATTRIBUTES ARE
24 * STORED WITH THE ENTITY WHENEVER THE ENTITY IS SAVED ON FILE. OTHER ATTRIBUTE VALUES ARE CALCU-
25 * LATED DURING THE COURSE OF A RUN, AND ARE NOT STORED AS PART OF THE ENTITY DESCRIPTION. THIS
26 * KIND OF ATTRIBUTE IS IDENTIFIED BY A D (TO MEAN "DYNAMIC" OR "DERIVED") AT THE RIGHT HAND MARGIN.
27 *
28 * SETS ARE "OWNED" BY ENTITIES AND IN CONSEQUENCE, ARE VERY MUCH LIKE ATTRIBUTES. THEY ARE REPRE-
29 * SENTED IN THE DATA STRUCTURE LIKE ATTRIBUTES, EXCEPT THAT THEIR NAMES ARE PRECEDED BY THE WORD
30 * "SET". THE KIND OF ENTITIES WHICH "BELONG TO" THE SET FOLLOW THE SET NAME AND ARE INDENTED.
31 *
32 * THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF STRUCTURE CAN BE AN ENTITY (SUCH AS A PROCESS:), A SET (SUCH AS THE
33 * COST.ACCOUNT.STRUCTURE), OR AN ATTRIBUTE. ATTRIBUTES AT THE HIGHEST LEVEL DESCRIBE OR ARE USED BY
34 * THE MODEL ITSELF, AND ARE CALLED "GLOBAL VARIABLES" (SUCH AS THE NORMAL\INPUT\UNIT).
35 *
36 * IN ADDITION TO THE S OR D DESCRIBED ABOVE, EACH ATTRIBUTE IN THE DATA STRUCTURE DESCRIPTIONS
37 * HAS ITS UNITS OF MEASURE AND ITS MODE. THE FOLLOWING CODES ARE USED FOR THE MODES:
38 *
39 * (I)    INTEGER
40 * (R)    REAL
41 * (T)    TEXT
42 * (U)    UNIQUE TEXT
43 * (C)    SINGLE CHARACTER
44 * (V)    REAL VECTOR
45 * (2)    2 BY N TABLE
46 * (M)    REAL MATRIX
47 * (T V)  TEXT VECTOR
48 * (I V)  INTEGER VECTOR
49 *****
67
68 THE DATA STRUCTURE CONSISTS OF
70 THE COST_ACCOUNTS DATA_STRUCTURE----->( 13)
71 THE CURRENT_TECHNOLOGY DATA_STRUCTURE----->( 15)
72 THE AVAILABLE_COMPANIES DATA_STRUCTURE----->( 16)
73 THE CURRENT_CONFIGURATION DATA_STRUCTURE----->( 17)
74 THE DIRECTORIES DATA_STRUCTURE----->( 22)
75 THE GLOBAL_VARIABLES DATA_STRUCTURE----->( 23)
76 THE PARSER_DATA_STRUCTURE----->( 24)
77 THE TABLE_EXAMPLES----->( 25)
79
8.0 END_DATA_STRUCTURE

```

Figure 2. High-level Data Representation

LINE	DATA_STRUCTURE FOR CURRENT_TECHNOLOGY	APRIL 27, 1978	PAGE 15
172	DATA_STRUCTURE FOR CURRENT_TECHNOLOGY		3/24/78 1.9
173	*****		
174	* THE CURRENT TECHNOLOGY SET CONTAINS A "CATALOG" OF THE PROCESSES THAT HAVE BEEN DESCRIBED. EACH *		
175	* PROCESS DESCRIPTION IS THE COMPUTER EQUIVALENT OF A "FORMAT A" (JPL FORM 3037-5). IN ADDITION *		
176	* TO THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ON THOSE FORMATS IS A LOT OF STANDARDIZED DATA, WHICH THE USER CAN *		
177	* CHANGE ON A PROCESS BY PROCESS BASIS FOR SENSITIVITY STUDIES OR FOR A MORE REALISTIC DESCRIPTION. *		
178	*****		
183			
184	SET 'CURRENT_TECHNOLOGY'		S
185	ENTITY 'PROCESS:'		S
186	'REFERENT' (SEE TABLE 3 IN DATA_STRUCTURE TABLE_EXAMPLES)		(U) S
187	'DESCRPTIVE.NAME'		(T) S
188	'PRODUCT.REFERENT' (SEE TABLE 4 IN DATA_STRUCTURE TABLE_EXAMPLES)		(U) S
189	'PRODUCT.NAME'		(T) S
190	'PRODUCT.UNITS' (SEE TABLE 4 BELOW)		(T) S
191	'OUTPUT.RATE'		(T) S
192	'PROCESSING.TIME'	OUTPUT UNITS/OPERATING MINUTE	(R) S
193	'USAGE.FRACTION' (MACHINE DUTY CYCLE)	CALENDAR MINUTES AT THE WORK STATION	(R) S
195	'USAGE.FRACTION' (MACHINE DUTY CYCLE)	OPERATING MINUTES/FACTORY OPEN MINUTE	(R) S
195	SET 'MACHINE_DESCRIPTION'		S
196	ENTITY 'COMPONENT:'		S
197	'REFERENT' (SEE TABLE 5 IN DATA_STRUCTURE TABLE_EXAMPLES)		(I) S
198	'DESCRPTIVE.NAME'		(T) S
199	'PRICE.YEAR'	DATE YEAR	(I) S
200	'PURCHASE.COST'	PRICE YEAR DOLLARS	(R) S
201	'USEFUL.LIFE'	YEARS	(R) S
202	'SALVAGE.VALUE'	PRICE YEAR DOLLARS	(R) S
203	'REMOVAL.AND.INSTALLATION.COST'	PRICE YEAR DOLLARS	(R) S
204	'PAYMENT.FLOAT.INTERVAL'	YEARS	(R) S
205	'INFLATION.RATE.TABLE'	YEAR, PERCENT/YEAR	(2) S
206	'TAX.LIFE'	YEARS	(I) D
207	'EQUIPMENT.TAX.DEPRECIATION.METHOD'		(T) S
208	'ACCOUNTING.LIFE'	YEARS	(I) D
209	'EQUIPMENT.BOOK.DEPRECIATION.METHOD'		(T) S
211	SET 'BYPRODUCT_OUTPUTS'		S
212	ENTITY 'BYPRODUCT:'		S
213	'EXPENSE.ITEM.REFERENCE' (SEE TABLE 2 IN DATA_STRUCTURE TABLE_EXAMPLES)		(T) S
214	'EXPENSE.ITEM.POINTER'	CORE LOCATION	(I) D
215	'AMOUNT.PER.CYCLE'	UNITS/CYCLE	(R) S
217	SET 'FACILITIES.AND.PERSONNEL.REQUIREMENTS'		S
218	ENTITY 'FACILITY.OR.PERSONNEL:'		S
219	'EXPENSE.ITEM.REFERENCE' (SEE TABLE 2 IN DATA_STRUCTURE TABLE_EXAMPLES)		(T) S
220	'EXPENSE.ITEM.POINTER'	CORE LOCATION	(I) D
221	'AMOUNT.PER.MACHINE'	UNITS/MACHINE	(R) S
223	SET 'UTILITIES.AND.COMMODITIES.REQUIREMENTS'		S
224	ENTITY 'COMMODITY:'		S
225	'EXPENSE.ITEM.REFERENCE' (SEE TABLE 2 IN DATA_STRUCTURE TABLE_EXAMPLES)		(T) S
226	'EXPENSE.ITEM.POINTER'	CORE LOCATION	(I) D
227	'AMOUNT.PER.CYCLE'	UNITS/CYCLE	(R) S
229	SET 'REQUIRED.PRODUCTS'		S
230	ENTITY 'REQUIRED.PRODUCT:'		S
231	'PRODUCT.REFERENCE' (SEE TABLE 4 IN DATA_STRUCTURE TABLE_EXAMPLES)		(T) S
233	'YIELD'	OUTPUT UNITS/INPUT UNIT	(R) S
234	END_DATA_STRUCTURE		

Figure 3. Lower-level Data Structure Diagram

LN	PAGE	MODULE REFERENCE TREE
1	1	TEAM_MEETINGS_AND_AGENDA
2	2	SCHEDULE_AND_MILESTONES
3	3	PROGRESS_CHART
4	4	MEMORANDA
5	6	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
6	8	OBJECTIVES
7	9	READING_CONVENTIONS
8	200	CALL_A_ROUTINE
9	12	NOMENCLATURE
10	13	. COST_ACCOUNTS
11	15	. CURRENT_TECHNOLOGY
12	16	. AVAILABLE_COMPANIES
13	17	. CURRENT_CONFIGURATION
14	22	. DIRECTORIES
15	23	. GLOBAL_VARIABLES
16	24	. PARSER
17	25	. TABLE_EXAMPLES
18	28	TOP_LEVEL_COMMANDS
19	29	MANIPULATION_COMMANDS
20	30	MAIN
21	180	. INITIALIZE_PROGRAM
22	181	. . GET_MACHINE_SPECIFICATIONS
23	182	. . GET_PARSER_VOCABULARY
24	183	. . GET_FILE_DIRECTORIES
25	184	. . GET_MODEL_DEFAULTS
26	188	. . . SEARCH_A_SET_FOR_A_REFERENT
27	127	. . . PRINT_A_WARNING
28	129	. . . . PRINT_ERROR_MESSAGE
29	200	. . . . CALL_A_ROUTINE
30	120	. GET_THE_NEXT_COMMAND
31	123	. . INTERPRET_THE_USERS_NEXT_WORD
32	124	. . LIST_COMMAND_CHOICES
33	131	. . . PRINT_INTRODUCTORY_VERSION_OF_COMMAND_CHOICES
34	122	. . . HELP_WITH_TOP_LEVEL_COMMANDS
35	123	. . . INTERPRET_THE_USERS_NEXT_WORD
36	134	. . . . PRINT_TOP_LEVEL_HELP_MESSAGES
37	129	. . . . . PRINT_ERROR_MESSAGE
38	139	. . . . . PRINT_ADDITIONAL_TOP_LEVEL_HELP_MESSAGES
39	200	. . . . . CALL_A_ROUTINE
40	200	. . . . . CALL_A_ROUTINE
41	130	. . . . . PRINT_EXCEPTION_MESSAGE
42	129	. . . . . PRINT_ERROR_MESSAGE
43	146	. . . . . SET_PROMPT_LEVEL
44	123	. . . . . INTERPRET_THE_USERS_NEXT_WORD
45	130	. . . . . PRINT_EXCEPTION_MESSAGE

Figure 4. Automatically-generated Module Reference Tree

```

LINE                                     APRIL 27, 1978                                     PAGE 30
92 PROGRAM MAIN ROUTINE                                     3/24/78 2.2
93
94 *****
95 * THIS IS THE STARTING POINT AND "HOME BASE" FOR THE PROGRAM. *
96 *
97 * THIS PROCEDURE RESPONDS TO THE USER'S "SAMIS TOP-LEVEL" COMMANDS. *
98 *****
99
100 NOW INITIALIZE_PROGRAM----->(180)
101
102 LOOP UNTIL USER TERMINATES PROGRAM EXECUTION
103
104 NOW GET THE NEXT COMMAND----->(120)
105 * YIELDING; COMMAND NUMBER
106
107 SELECT CASE PER COMMAND NUMBER
108
109 CASE 1; "?"----->(124)
110 NOW LIST COMMAND CHOICES----->(122)
111 CASE 2; "HELP" OR "EXPLAIN"
112 NOW HELP WITH TOP LEVEL COMMANDS----->(146)
113 CASE 3; "PROMPT"
114 NOW SET PROMPT_LEVEL----->(145)
115 CASE 4; "QUERY"
116 NOW QUERY EXISTING ENTITIES----->( 32)
117 CASE 5; "CREATE"
118 NOW CREATE AND MANIPULATE THE ENTITY----->( 34)
119 CASE 6; "FIND"
120 NOW FIND AND MANIPULATE THE ENTITY----->( 47)
121 CASE 7; "REPLACE"
122 NOW REPLACE THE ENTITY ----- [DEFERRED TO A LATER RELEASE]>( 49)
123 CASE 8; "SIMULATE"
124 NOW STRUCTURE INDUSTRY AND VERIFY COHERENCE----->( 53)
125 * YIELDING; ABORT FLAG
126 IF ABORT FLAG = "ABORT"
127 <-----CYCLE
128 ENDIF
129 NOW SIMULATE----->(200)
130 CASE 9; "PLOT"
131 NOW CALL A ROUTINE TO PLOT_A_GRAPH ----- [DEFERRED TO A LATER RELEASE]>(200)
132 CASE 10; "STOP"
133 <-----EXITPROGRAM
134
135 ENDSELECT
136
137 REPEAT UNLESS USER HAS TERMINATED PROGRAM EXECUTION
138
139 END PROGRAM MAIN ROUTINE

```

Figure 5. Refined Design of a Routine with Project Management Information, Footnotes, and Various Automatic Formatting Features.



```

LINE
6 ROUTINE TO LIST.DATA.FOR.A.SYSTEM.ENTITY
7
8 DEFINE EXCEPTION.CODE AND COMMAND AS INTEGER VARIABLES
9
10 NOW INTERPRET.THE.USERS.NEXT.WORD----->( 40)
11 GIVEN 2 ''CONTEXT = ENTITY TYPES
12 AND 0 ''NO TEXT TO BE RETURNED
13 YIELDING EXCEPTION.CODE AND COMMAND
14
15 IF EXCEPTION.CODE IS NOT ZERO ''AN INPUT ERROR WAS FOUND
16 NOW PRINT.EXCEPTION.MESSAGE----->( 22)
17 GIVEN EXCEPTION.CODE
18 ELSE
19 IF COMMAND IS LESS THAN 1 OR COMMAND IS GREATER THAN 8,
20 NOW PRINT.ERROR.MESSAGE GIVEN 3''OUT OF BOUNDS IN LIST.DATA----->( 23)
21 ELSE
22 SELECT CASE(COMMAND)'
23 CASE(1)'
24 NOW LIST.VEHICLE.DATA----->( 86)
25 <-----RETURN
26 CASE(2)'
27 NOW LIST.CHASSIS.DATA----->( 87)
28 <-----RETURN
29 CASE(3)'
30 NOW LIST.ENGINE.DATA----->( 89)
31 <-----RETURN
32 CASE(4)'
33 NOW LIST.TRANSMISSION.DATA----->( 91)
34 <-----RETURN
35 CASE(5)'
36 NOW LIST.DRIVE.POWER.TRAIN.DATA----->( 93)
37 <-----RETURN
38 CASE(6)'
39 NOW LIST.SIMULATION.SPECS.DATA----->( 95)
40 <-----RETURN
41 CASE(7)'
42 NOW LIST.DRIVING.SCHEDULE.DATA----->( 97)
43 <-----RETURN
44 CASE(8)'
45 NOW LIST.ENVIRONMENT.DATA----->( 98)
46 ENDSELECT
47 ALWAYS
48 ALWAYS
49
50 <--RETURN
51 END

```

Figure 6. Actual SIMSCRIPT Source Code Enhanced by the SDDL Processor

```

LINE
172 PROGRAM DATA_STRUCTURE
174
175 ***** NOTE ***** ALL VARIABLES ARE REAL, EXCEPT THOSE WITH AN I AFTER THE
176 DESCRIPTION WHICH ARE INTEGER TYPE -- NO LOGICAL VARIABLES ARE USED
177 UNITS ARE INDICATED FOR EACH VARIABLE, EXCEPT "(-)" WHICH
178 INDICATES UNITLESS QUANTITIES
179
180 COMMON /BATRY/ ALL PARAMETERS PERTAINING TO THE BATTERY
181 *BATWT* BATTERY.WEIGHT (LB)
182 *CHLIM* BATTERY.CHARGING.LIMIT (-)
183 *DMASS* INCREMENTAL.CHANGE.OF.BATTERY.MASS (LB)
184 *DMSUM* ACCUMULATED.BATTERY.MASS.OVER.DRIVING.CYCLE (LB)
185 *DSLIM* BATTERY.DISCHARGING.LIMIT (-)
186 *IDLIM* IDLING.TIME.ON.DRIVING.CYCLE, I (SEC)
187 *KDSCH* BATTERY.CHARGE.DISCHARGE.POLYNOMIAL.COEFFICIENTS (-)
188 *RECUP* BATTERY.RECUPERATION.FACTOR (2)
189 *REBNF* REGENERATIVE.BRAKING.FACTOR (2)
190 *VVLM* LOW.SPEED.REGENERATION.LIMIT (MI/HR)
192
193 COMMON /CAR/ ALL PARAMETERS BASIC TO THE VEHICLE
194 *A* VEHICLE.FRONTAL.AREA (SQ FT)
195 *BKFAC* REGENERATIVE.BRAKING.ENERGY.FACTOR (-)
196 *CD* VEHICLE.DRAG.COEFFICIENT (-)
197 *CDA* VEHICLE.DRAG.COEFFICIENT.FRONTAL.AREA.PRODUCT (SQ FT)
198 *INRTA* DRIVE.LINE.ROTATING.MASS.FACTOR (-)
199 *TIRE* TIRE.COEFFICIENT.OF.RESISTANCE (2)
200 *WT* TOTAL.VEHICLE.WEIGHT (LB)
202
203 COMMON /CONST/ CONSTANTS AND FLAGS
204 *CFLAG* PRINT.CHANGE.OPTIONS.FLAG, I (-)
205 *G* ACCELERATION.DUE.TO.GRAVITY (FT/SEC/SEC)
206 *MHFS* MI.PER.HR.TO.FT.PER.SEC.CONVERSION.FACTOR (FT-HR/SEC/MI)
207 *PFLAG* PERFORMANCE.REQUIREMENTS.PRINTING.FLAG, I (-)
208 *WHERE* LOOP.BACK.FLAG, I (-)
210
211 COMMON /ETA/ EFFICIENCY PARAMETERS
212 *ECOEF* EFFICIENCY.LINE.CALCULATION.ARRAY (-)
213 *EFAVE* AVERAGE.EFFICIENCY (2)
214 *EFFAC* CALCULATED.EFFICIENCY.POINT (2)
215 *EFTIN* AVERAGE.EFFICIENCY.TIME.PERIOD, I (SEC)
216 *ESPED* EFFICIENCY.SPEED.CALCULATION.BREAKPOINTS (MI/HR)
217 *EVALU* EFFICIENCY.VALUE.CALCULATION.BREAKPOINTS (2)
219
220 COMMON /NOW/ TRACE PARAMETERS AND INSTANTANEOUS VALUES
221 *PRNAX* TRACE.PRINTING.TERMINATE.TIME, I (SEC)
222 *PRNIN* TRACE.PRINTING.START.TIME, I (SEC)
223 *PTOT* INSTANTANEOUS.TOTAL.POWER (HP)
224 *V* INSTANTANEOUS.SPEED (MI/HR)
226
227 COMMON /OUTP/ CALCULATED PARAMETERS WHICH WILL BE PRINTED
228 *COST* OPERATING.COST (2)
229 *E* CONSUMED.ENERGY.OVER.CYCLE (KW-HR)
230 *EPM* CONSUMED.ENERGY.PER.DISTANCE.OVER.CYCLE (KW-HR/MI)
231 *HPWHL* AVERAGE.POWER.AT.WHEELS.OVER.CYCLE (HP)
232 *RANGE* TOTAL.RANGE.ON.A.BATTERY.CHARGE (MI)
234

```

Figure 7. SDDL Used to Document Data Structures in an Existing FORTRAN Program

